Aggregate Mining is Not Benign





Why are we here?

- Support ICBL process
- All residents will benefit for decades to come

What's wrong with current OP aggregate policies?

- Scored lowest for all of TTAPMO O.P.'s (assessed by professional planning firm)
- Policies were developed and written 25 years ago
- Residents not adequately protected against impacts of aggregate mining.

13 months since ICBL passed – Where are we today?

- Only 11 months remain
- Progress being made Joint Aggregate Review complete (Town & ROP)
- Supplementary aggregate review ongoing
- Aggregate Resources Committee (ARC) Working Group progressing.
- CBM/St. Marys not happy about it! Filed appeal against our Town

Significant work still to be completed

- Comprehensive evaluation strengths and weaknesses of our existing
 OP aggregate policies incomplete
- Review of other municipal aggregate policies emulate the best out there
- We need input from aggregate technical experts retain ASAP
 - o Hydrogeology
 - o Traffic safety
 - o Air quality
 - o Natural heritage
 - o Blasting impacts
 - o Etc.

Why retain technical experts?

E.g.: dust from pits and quarries—long-term problem in Caledon – how to control?

Air quality engineer: dust dispersion modelling to confirm minimum safe separation distance for PM 2.5 (carcinogen) and other PM that pose public health risk.

E.g.: Safety exclusion zone of 800 m minimum

This evidence-based science will prevail in appeal of aggregate policies by industry – inevitable.

Also Essential: Caledon Planning requires aggregate consultant with experience and initiative to oversee entire Supplementary Aggregate Review Study.

ICBL: A once in a generation opportunity We must not squander this.